

SOLICITOR

§ AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

SEP - 4 2007

TO: Mail Stop 8
Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following Patents or Trademarks:

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CV 07-04424 MEJ	8/27/07	Northern District of California, San Francisco Division
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	
MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.	ANPEC ELECTRONICS CORP.	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 7,061,327		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Answer	<input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Richard W. Wierking	Gloria Acevedo	August 30, 2007

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 Chad S. Campbell, Arizona Bar No. 012080
2 CSCampbell@perkinscoie.com
3 PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.
4 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
5 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788
6 Telephone: 602.351.8000
7 Facsimile: 602.648.7000

ORIGINAL
FILED

07 AUG 27 PM 3:07

RICHARD W. WICKING
CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NO. 01-CV-1000-J-S.J.

8 James R. Hannah, Bar No. 237978
9 JHannah@perkinscoie.com
10 PERKINS COIE LLP
11 101 Jefferson Drive
12 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1114
13 Telephone: 650.838.4300
14 Facsimile: 650.838.4350

ADR

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS,
20 INC.,

C 07 04424 MEJ

Case No.

21 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
22 INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff,

Demand for Jury Trial

23 v.

24 ANPEC ELECTRONICS CORP.,

25 Defendant.

26 Plaintiff Maxim Integrated Products Inc. ("Maxim") alleges the following against
27 Defendant Anpec Electronics Corp. ("Defendant"):

28 The Parties

1. Maxim is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Sunnyvale, California. Maxim develops, manufactures, markets, licenses and operates mixed signal integrated circuit products based on proprietary technology.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Anpec Electronics Corp. is a Taiwan corporation with its principal place of business in Hsin-Chu, Taiwan.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. This Court has jurisdiction over Maxim's patent infringement claim pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq., and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b) because certain of the acts complained of occurred in this judicial district, and because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district, and therefore "resides" in the district.

Patent Infringement

5. On June 13, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 7,061,327, entitled "SINGLE SUPPLY HEADPHONE DRIVER/CHARGE PUMP COMBINATION," (the "327 patent") was issued to inventor Tony Doy. A copy of the '327 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. Maxim is the owner by assignment of the '327 patent and has the full and exclusive right to bring suit to enforce it.

7. The '327 patent relates generally to driver amplifier circuits.

8. On information and belief, Defendant has been and is still infringing, contributing to infringement, and/or inducing others to infringe the '327 patent by making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing integrated circuit products. Defendants' acts of infringement have occurred in this judicial district and elsewhere.

Count One

9. Maxim incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 8 above.

10. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the ‘327 patent by practicing one or more claims of the patent in its manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation of integrated circuit products that provide driver amplification and by inducing or contributing to the infringement of the ‘327 patent by others.

11. Maxim has been damaged by Defendant's infringement and, unless Defendant secures a license to the '327 patent from Maxim or is enjoined by this Court, Defendant will continue its infringing activity and Maxim will continue to be damaged.

Prayer for Relief

Maxim prays for the following relief against Defendant:

(a) A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, all parent and subsidiary corporations, all assignees and successors in interest, and those persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, including distributors and customers, enjoining them from continuing acts of infringement of Maxim's '327 patent;

(b) An award of damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's infringement of Maxim's '327 patent, including damages based on lost profits, lost royalties and price erosion, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

(c) A trebling of said damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

(d) An award of attorney's fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

(e) Any other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: Aug. 27, 2007 PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.

PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.

By

Chad S. Campbell, Arizona Bar No. 012080
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788

James R. Hannah, Bar No. 237978
PERKINS COIE LLP
101 Jefferson Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1114

Attnorneys for Plaintiff
Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.